

*Messrs. Camal & Ragland*—A short article in the Journal of the 5th inst. may seem to demand a passing notice. A writer under the signature of "Observer," alleges, that certain members of the Legislature "voted for the federal doctrines of usurpation and consolidation." The ground of this allegation is that they were not prepared, upon very short notice, to vote for the report of the committee, upon the citation in the case of Tassels. So far as the House of Representatives are concerned, it may be remembered, that a substitute was offered for the report; and it may be presumed, that the minority voted against the report, not only because they could not be prepared, at a moment's warning, to vote for so strong a paper; but because they thought under the circumstances, that the substitute was pro-