

What are the facts connected with the case of Mr. Thomas Haynes, of Gainesville, *not* Mr. Thomas Haynes, of Sparta, under date of July 29th. forwarded us a circular for publication, which we prefaced with the following paragraph:

"Should any of our readers be disposed to question the authenticity of this Circular--we can assure them, from our personal knowledge and acquaintance with Mr. Haynes, of his sincerity. We cannot therefore withhold from him the right of correcting any wrong impression which may prevail in relation to himself."

Knowing the existence of another gentleman of the same name, to wit: Mr. Thomas Haynes of Sparta, who is a candidate for Gov. and to prevent the impression being made upon the public mind, (and thereby a wrong one) that Mr. Thomas Haynes of Gainesville, who is *not* a candidate, was *not* Mr. Thomas Haynes, of Sparta, who is a candidate, we assured the public, that Mr. Thomas Haynes, of Gainesville, was sincere in the declaration which he made, that it was *not himself*. Mr. Thomas Haynes, of Gainesville, *as many believed*. but Mr. Thomas Haynes of Sparta, who *was* the candidate, and for this, we are charged with conveying the impression, that not only Mr. Thomas Haynes, of Gainesville, but Mr. Thomas Haynes, of Sparta, are neither of them candidates for Governor.—Again. For saying that we could not withhold from Mr. Thomas Haynes of Gainesville, the right of correcting the error which prevailed in relation to himself, and of assuring the public, that *he* Mr. Thomas Haynes, of Gainesville, was *not* a candidate, when Mr. Thomas Haynes, of Sparta, and *not* Mr. Thomas Haynes, of Gainesville, *was*; we are again charged with saying, that neither Mr. Thomas Haynes, of Sparta, who is a candidate, nor Mr. Thomas Haynes, of Gainesville, who is *not* a candidate, are either of them candidates for Governor. Such a perversion, of the true object and intent of our remarks, with a view to our injury, is enough to put folly to the blush, and honesty to shame.—Mr. Haynes further observes:

"But there is another consideration which goes to shew the intention of the parties to this dark transaction. The name of the citizen of Gainesville, which is assumed as the basis of the vilest subterfuge, is not written as mine. That name is known to be written Hanes, and is as essentially different from the name attached to the Athenian Circular, as was the name of its unprincipled fabricator."

The original circular was submitted to the inspection of a number of individuals during Commencement week, after learning that a suspicion existed in the minds of some *two* or *three* persons, that the name of Mr. Haynes had been changed by us. To correct any error which might, through their instrumentality be made upon this point, it was shewn to Col. Y. P. King and Col. T. F. Foster of Greensboro'; to Mr. Nathan H. Beall of Putnam, and others. To prevent any doubt of the correctness of this assertion, we have given a direct reference to any enquiries which Mr. Haynes, of Sparta, may be disposed to make. The gentlemen will, we trust, excuse the liberty we have taken in using their names.

To the charge of "equivocation" which Mr. Thomas Haynes, of Sparta, has preferred against us, we give an unqualified denial—a denial which we will substantiate by proof, should circumstances require it. Mr. Haynes must have been incorrectly, but we believe innocently misinformed, or such an accusation would not have been made.

The reader of the circular of Mr. Thomas Haynes, of Sparta, will perceive that he has evinced a more than feverish solicitude to see the manuscript of the circular of Mr. Thomas Haynes of Gainesville. This point has been frequently urged upon the attention of the public—although assured that it contained the sentiments of Mr. Haynes, of Gainesville. Thereby hangs a tale; the mystery of which may be solved by the simple fact being known, that Mr. Haynes, of Sparta, had caught the "subtle idea by the tail," subtle indeed it proved to him, that Judge Clayton was its author. This was the "higher game" alluded to—a game pursued with much steadiness of purpose, but finally abandoned with hopeless and unavailing regret. We embrace in conclusion, this opportunity, in justice to the character of that gentleman, of publicly acquitting him of any knowledge, either of the circular or of Mr. Haynes' intentions, and we as publicly acquit him of any *past* or *present* agency, either *directly* or *indirectly*, in the management of this paper. This charge, the promptings of malignity, has upon this very point, often outraged truth, and defied the honest and sober admonitions of reason.

We leave to Mr. Thomas Haynes, of Gainesville, as we feel assured he will do, the task of satisfying the public, by a *second* circular, that it was *himself* and not Mr. Thomas Haynes, of Sparta, who declined being considered a candidate for Governor.