

"We learn, from good authority, that none of the gentlemen belonging to the committee of correspondence, appointed at Athens, are considered as advocates of nullification, excepting, perhaps, Judge Clayton; yet, we apprehend that an *unlimited* convention might be attended by *dangers* quite as great as those of nullification."

The above is from the Augusta Constitutionalist, which has heretofore been, or appeared to be, warmly opposed to the tariff. It lately denounced it in no measured terms, and proclaimed "war to the knife," (we use the words of the Constitutionalist,) against those "miscreants" who should endeavor to enslave the south, by making the "protective system" the rooted policy of the country, and "the decisions of the Supreme Court paramount to the Constitution." The preceding extract, however, seems to have quite a different bearing, and one that we should not have expected from a bona fide opponent of the tariff, however consistent it might be with the policy of a friend in disguise. Why apprehend "*dangers*"

om a convention of the people? Can they not be trusted with the management of their own concerns? Can they not be trusted with "power to maintain, preserve, and defend the rights and privileges of the free citizens of Georgia," which is avowed to be the object of the convention. To what party can a journal belong, in which we find such a sentiment? Not to the Free Trade and State Rights party! Not to the Union party! Can it then be to a secret, disguised Tariff party, which is just commencing to show itself openly, finding that it can no longer operate in secret and under false colors?

There is, however, another and a very interesting aspect in which we view the above article, and it is upon that account we take notice of it. It shows, conclusively, that there is in Georgia a determined spirit of resistance and opposition to the tariff, which, although it may possibly not assume the form of nullification, yet will approximate so closely to it that its practical effects will be the same. They have declared their "determination *not to submit* to, and the consequent resolution to *resist* the obnoxious laws." As to the mode and manner, that is for future decision.

Two things are very apparent. That *some* of those who have been declaiming loudly against the tariff, will do their utmost to paralyse the exertions of those who are laboring to free their fellow-citizens from the oppressions of which they complain; and that a line will soon be fully and completely drawn between those who are determined not to submit to the tariff, and its friends, united with those time-serving, office-seeking politicians, who are willing to sacrifice their fellow-citizens to their own emolument and advancement.