

We have put the question time and again—Why did Charles E. Haynes resign his right, and agreeable to all fair usage, his *title* to the candidacy for the vacant seat in the Georgia representation in Congress? We have nothing against Mr. Clayton, but others of his own party have insurmountable objections to him on account of his decision in the case of the Indian Canatoo. We meddle not with the correctness of this decision; but it excites our “special wonder,” how the same body that decreed his expulsion from the Bench, could with any degree of consistency, immediately thereupon recommend him to a station in which he can exercise his opposition to the views of Georgia, as regards the Indians, with as much or more effect than on the Judicial Bench. Judge Clayton undoubtedly possesses many of the requisite qualifications for the trust to which he aspires; but it is our firm belief, that Mr. Haynes has ten to one, and these without the blot of a single objection. We have but very few words to say upon this subject, and shall not undertake to enumerate the qualifications of the one or the disqualifications of the other. But this we must be permitted to say, and say it too with an emphasis that should make the ears of Troup men tingle,—that Mr. Haynes’ unrighteous exclusion at the last election, calls in accents trumpet-tongued, for restitution, now that an opportunity is given. Were justice awarded him, and the people to do justice to themselves, they would disregard his withdrawal from the contest, and by acclamation demand his services in the Supreme Council of the nation, where they are appreciated.

We did think that our fellow citizens were susceptible of ingratitude; nor will we relinquish the belief, but charge this desertion of Mr. Haynes to the subtle influence of that indefinable demon whose name is *Caucus*.

The only possible way that yet appears feasible to us for healing the unhappy breach in the 'Troup party, and for recovering' its departed power, is, for the whole party to unite in the support of Haynes. And it is a compromise which the late Troup opposers of Thomas Haynes owe to the party, to give their suffrages to C. E. Haynes for Congress. *They* are the only persons in whose power it is to heal the deep wounds they have inflicted upon the feelings of Thomas Haynes' friends. *These* are they who have prostrated the party, and thus given the victory to the Clarkites; and if they persist in supporting any other than him whose cause we advocate, defeat will again attend their perverseness. 'This division of a house against itself will always insure its fall. It ever has been thus, and thus must ever be.

We have no expectation of changing the determination of a single individual by any thing that we can offer. The decree has gone forth, and Judge Clayton, actuated by a spirit the antipode to that of Mr. H., stands as the Troup candidate; but mark us—he cannot be elected in the present condition of his party, while Judge Schley's name stands beside his.—*Hancock Advertiser.*