

Judge CLAYTON, of Georgia, who officiated in chief in the famous enquiry into the affairs of the Bank of the United States, during the last session of Congress, has put forth in the Georgia Journal an elaborate reply to Mr. ADAMS's separate report on that abortive perquisition. The reply of Mr. C. fills nine columns of the Georgia paper, and is excessively acrimonious, as the reader will perceive, from the following introductory paragraph. It is addressed to Mr. Adams:

“From a declaration, thrice repeated, in your attack upon the Majority of the Bank Committee, that you imputed “no injustice of intention” to their course, you might readily imagine I had no just grounds of replication to your labored defence of the Bank. But you may not perhaps reflect that your political relation to the public, and the past treacheries of your life, entitle your reasoning powers to much more faith than your word; the first may deceive, the latter cannot; and where you might utterly fail in obtaining belief for your declaration, you might receive wonderful applause for your ingenuity. Having exerted all your powers to convict yourself of inconsistency, and to destroy your own testimony by the force of argument, it is not at all unreasonable, and of which you cannot complain, if I should seize the opportunity to make you choose between the sophister and the hypocrite.”

It is a pity that time had not sufficiently assuaged the worthy Judge's anger to induce the omission of personalities which very few of his readers will admit to be just, and still fewer consider in good taste. They excite the more surprise, coming from a gentleman, of warm temperament, it is true, but of remarkable urbanity in his general deportment.