

The Courier and Enquirer manifests great ill-humor, and assails the majority of the bank committee, because they have stated facts, without indulging in comments or expressing opinions. The committee have given the *facts*; and the subsidized editors of the C. and E. complain. The people will supply the comments and conclusions—and these purchased gentlemen, we can assure them, will have as little cause to be satisfied with that.

THE COURIER & ENQUIRER VS. THE BANK INVESTIGATION—The Daily Advertiser of yesterday comments in the following strain of unintentional but pointed and bitter sarcasm, on the labor-ed attempt of the C. and E. to relieve itself of the odium fastened on it by the recent disclosures made by the committee of investigation at Philadelphia. *Hæret lateri lethalis arundo.* Assuredly, as the Daily Advertiser has more than intimated, there is “a mode of speech called irony.”

“We feel bound to say in common justice to the proprietors of the C. and E., that their *explanation is satisfactory.* The matter alluded to was a *fair business transaction.* Printers of newspapers have, it will not be denied, as much *right to receive bank favors,* as any other persons or classes of men, and we cannot perceive that in *this transaction the bank has acted in any manner derogatory to the character which ought always to be sustained by every respectable monied institution:* nor that the printers of the C. and E., *have in any respect compromised their honor,* nor even (if the subject is fully looked into) *rendered themselves obnoxious to the SUSPICION of having been governed by UNWORTHY MOTIVES.*”