

 THE BANK REPORT was made to the H. of R. on Monday. Some notice of the debate on the occasion, will be found under the congressional head. The N. Y. Evening Post comments as follows:—

The report is concurred in, it appears, by four of the committee, namely: Messrs. Clayton, Cambreleng, Thomas and Johnson; and is dissented from by Messrs. Adams and McDuffie. What the views of Mr. Watmough are on the subject, whether in favor of the report or against it, does not certainly appear, though it is supposed that he coincides with either of Mr. Adams or Mr. McDuffie.

The objection of Mr. Adams to the report, as far as may be gathered from his remarks, are not to the accuracy or force of the statements contained in it, but are founded on a belief that they are of a character not authorised by the resolution of congress, instituting the investigation. Of the matters embraced in the Bank report the Intelligencer says, "We understand that it sets forth sundry acts of the Bank, which it considers as misdealing, and some which are supposed to infringe the charter, but is, on the whole, sparing of opinions, or of censure." The same paper states that in relation to the alleged attempt of the United States Bank to bribe the newspapers, but one case, that of an editor in this city, is cited.

The *one case* there can be no doubt, is that of the editors of the Courier and Enquirer. We have received a letter from an intelligent friend at Washington in which it is said—"I have reason to believe that the only editorial case which the Bank Committee felt it to be their duty to report, was that of WEBB and NOAH, which you may rely will turn out to be as extensive as rumor has represented. It will be seen too that \$32,975 were withdrawn after the resolution to appoint a committee of investigation was offered in the House.—I fear the developement of this transaction will affect injuriously, not the Bank only, but the character of its President."