

 We solicit the public attention to the following article :—

[From the N. Y. Evening Post of Monday.]

## THE UNITED STATES BANK.

There is no further news on the subject of the alleged discoveries made by the bank committee.—None of the papers implicated by the rumours have thought proper to deny their truth. Two or three journals have undertaken to argue the abstract question of the right of the Bank to discount the notes of editors, as well as those of any other applicants for pecuniary accommodations, provided good security were given. It will be remembered, however, that it is this very point, *the neglecting to take good security*, which constitutes the most important feature in the rumours in circulation. If it is true that \$52,800 have been lent on notes at two and three years, to the two proprietors of the Courier and Enquirer, with no better security than their two names, one as drawer and the other as endorser:—if it is true that \$25,000 have been lent *to the proprietors of the National Intelligencer* upon their note at a long date, endorsed by *an irresponsible person*, (a clerk in their office) those same individuals at the time of the discount owing a large sum on notes which had been placed among “Debts bad and doubtful:”—if it is true that a discount to a large amount, and under SIMILAR circumstances, has been made *to the proprietor of the Washington Telegraph*: if these things are true, we say, then it will hardly be deni-



*proprietor of the Washington Telegraph:* if these things are true, we say, then it will hardly be denied that the Bank has been guilty of a most palpable and wicked attempt to purchase the influence of the press. That they are true we pretend not to assert; but such is the purport of the rumours very current in this city—rumours believed by men not apt to be mistaken or deceived in such matters—rumours not denied by those persons who are said to have received the money. If the stories were false, it would surely seem that those whose characters are impugned would take the trouble to deny them. A few days will probably give us the report of the committee, and thus decide this interesting question. In the meanwhile, we copy the following cautiously expressed paragraph from the National Intelligencer of Saturday. “*We hope,*” and “*we trust,*” are not the phrases which the public want. Let us have a manly, and explicit denial, or it were better that those implicated should remain entirely silent.