

The editors of the N. Y. Courier and Enquirer evidently wince under the continued and deserved reproofs they are daily receiving from the republican journals in all sections of the country. Convicted of the most glaring inconsistencies, they would fain have the public believe that the democratic papers in this state, which now assail them, have been equally inconsistent, because one or two of these papers spoke well of the Courier & Enquirer subsequent to the time when its conductors are said to have been *bribed*. That some papers were slow to believe any thing to the discredit of a journal which supported republican usage and was continually prating about its devotion to democratic principles, we know to be true, but that all or any thing like a majority of the republican papers in this state, have had any faith in the *honesty* of the conductors of the Courier & Enquirer since its summerset on the bank question, is not true—neither is it true that all the papers which now charge the editors of that journal with having been bribed to support the *mammoth*, have “endorsed it” *within the past year*, as being “a purely democratic journal,” or that they were silent as to its *venality* up to the time it assailed the Argus in December last. The wanton attacks of the Courier and Enquirer upon the conductor of a paper which has always stood deservedly high with the democracy of the state and union, and its subsequent attacks upon the Governor, the state officers, and the safety fund system, left no room to doubt its *apostacy*, and hence the strictures upon it and its unprincipled conductors, by the *few* republican presses which had not before thought and spoken as ill of it as it deserved.

The C. & E. says that when, in December last, it took the Argus to task for its dissent from the views of the Secretary of the Treasury, in relation to the U. S. Bank, “orders were issued by the money-changers for a simultaneous attack upon *our democracy!* by the country presses, and the orders were obeyed.” Now it so happens that the “attack” of which the C. & E. speaks was commenced some time previous to December. Our attack upon that paper was made, as we mentioned last week, on the 11th of October, and the Onondaga Standard and other republican journals opened their columns on the C. & E. some weeks previous to the time the latter assailed the Argus. Indeed, by a reference to the C. & E. it will be seen that it replied to the attacks of the *Herald* and other democratic journals, previous to the very occurrence which it now *pretends* was the cause of these attacks! We make no comments with regard to this latest lie of the C. & E.; but, for the benefit of its editors, will merely repeat the aphorism—“Liars should have good memories.”