

[From the Washington Globe.]

THE BANK COMMITTEE.

Messrs. Clayton, Adams, McDuffie, Johnson, of Kentucky, Thomas, Cambreleng, and Watmough, we understand left the city for Philadelphia, to enter upon the examination of the Bank of the United States. It is somewhat extraordinary, that when the Bank has applied for a renewal of its charter four years in anticipation of its expiration, the friends of the bank should, in the first place, deny all investigation into the general abuses of its management, or even into the specific charges made against it on the floor of congress; and that they should finally prevail so as to limit the examination to a short day in April, which must necessarily preclude all inspection into the concerns of the remote branches where many of the abuses occurred.

We must suppose that the Intelligencer speaks the feeling of those interested for the Bank, in the editorial article which is made the subject of sharp animadversion by a correspondent in this day's paper. They think that those in congress who are against the bank, will not be brought to its support if nothing should be proved to impeach its management, and the Intelligencer gives us plainly to understand, that *nothing* can be established against it which can shake the purpose of its friends in congress. But we would ask the Intelligencer whether the people of this country ought not to be allowed to have a voice in a subject, in which the welfare of the government, and their own immediate personal interests are so deeply involved? And whether they ought not to be indulged with full evidence and full time to make up an opinion, and an opportunity to express it.